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1 Thereupon:

ARNELLE SIMPSON,
was called as a witness by Creditor Fred Goldman, and having been first duly sworn was examined and testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BATTISTA:
Q Can you state your name for the record?
A Arnelle Simpson.
Q Ms. Simpson, what is your relationship to Lorraine Brooke Associates, Inc.?

A I am president of the company, along with my brothers and sister.

Q They're also president?
A No. I'm sorry. They're -- I'm president.
Q And do you own any stock in the company?
A Yes.
Q How much stock?
A It's equal to everybody.
Q The other shareholders are your two brothers and one sister?

A Yes, sir.
Q You own one-quarter each, 25 percent each?
A Yes.
Q I understand you've been deposed before, both

1 before this proceeding, and at least twice perhaps in this proceeding.

With respect to today, my rules are pretty simple in a deposition. To the extent you don't understand the question, ask me to repeat it. I tend to go pretty quickly. If I'm going too quickly, ask me to slow down. I have no problem with you asking me to rephrase questions, or simply telling me you don't understand and trying again.

Any time you want to take a break, let me know. As long as there's not a question pending we'll take a break. If you want something to drink, you want to go to the bathroom, that will be fine.

I'm going to try to get through this as quickly as possible. It's 3 o'clock in the afternoon. I know it's late in the day. I'm hoping not to take the better part of the evening to do this. Hopefully we can get through this quickly.

Any questions?
A No.
Q You are the daughter of 0.J. Simpson?
A Yes.
Q Do you presently live with your father?
A Yes.
Q How long have you lived with him?

A Off and on for two years.
Q Here in Florida?
A Yes.
Q Is that when he first moved to Florida, two years ago?

A No.
Q So he was living in Florida before you moved in with him?

A Correct.
Q Do your brothers and sister also live with him today?

A Yes.
Q How long have they lived with him?
A Since they moved here.
Q When was that?
A It's been, I would say, six years now.
Q So about 2000, 2001?
A Yeah, 2001, 2000, somewhere in there.
Q Other than this bankruptcy proceeding, I believe you testified that you were involved in your father's litigation.

Is that accurate? Do I have that correctly?
A I don't understand the question.
Q I think at your 341 meeting someone asked you whether you had been deposed before, and you said yes.

1

In what context were you being deposed?
A In regard to this case.
Q This bankruptcy case?
A This bankruptcy case.
Q Before this bankruptcy case were you ever deposed?

A No.
Q Were you deposed in the litigation that Frederick Goldman commenced against your father?

A No. Meaning like we're doing right now?
Q Yes.
A No. I mean, I had a lawyer, and we spoke, but it wasn't so formal like this.

Q I must have misunderstood. I want to make sure that $I$ clarify this.

A I'm confused.
Q I thought you had testified that you had been deposed before this litigation, before this bankruptcy case. If you haven't, we'll clarify it. If you have, we'll try to identify it.

I can't seem to find it that quickly. Maybe on one of our breaks I'll find it.

Do you remember when your father was sued by
Frederick Goldman in a civil case?
A I don't remember.

Q You don't remember it being some time around 1997?

A I don't remember. There's always people coming after him, so I don't remember.

Q There's always people coming after him?
A Yeah. Little stuff here and there. I hear about it, but I don't always know it.

Q Were you aware that Mr. Goldman obtained a judgment against your father?

A I don't remember.
Q I'm not asking you as to when you first became aware, but are you aware today that Mr. Goldman has a judgment against your father?

A Yes.
Q Were you aware in 2006, just last year, that Mr. Goldman had a judgment against your father?

A No. I mean -- no.
I don't remember. The timing is hard for me. I don't remember.

Q You don't remember when you first learned that Mr. Goldman had a judgment against your father, a judgment that now is about $\$ 38$ million?

A I'm confused as to the question.
Q I guess I'm trying to understand whether you were ever aware that Mr. Goldman had a judgment against

1 your father for approximately $\$ 38$ million?

A In regards to what?
Q In regards to a wrongful death lawsuit that he filed against your father for the murder of his son.

A Yes.
Q You're aware of that?
A Yes.
Q I'm sorry for not being clear.
Do you remember when you first became aware of that judgment against your father?

A Some time during the trial.
Q Which would have been back in 1997, late '90s?

A Yes.
Q Were you aware that Mr. Goldman, in an effort to collect on that judgment, had taken actions against your father, whether it was to try to seize assets or collect assets of your father's?

A Yes and no.
Q Do you remember an incident, as an example, concerning the Heisman Trophy, when someone tried to attach the Heisman Trophy your father had won?

A Yes.
Q That was back in the late 1990s?
A I guess.

Q You remember those efforts by Mr. Goldman?
A Here or there. I was really into myself at the time. I was working. I don't always follow what my dad does.

Q And that's fair. You don't have to follow it. I'm not suggesting that at all. I'm just trying to simply understand whether you had a recollection or understanding that --

A A recollection, yes.
Q And that runs through today? You have the same recollection and understanding today you had back in the late '90s?

A I guess so.
Q Fair enough. Have you ever talked to your father about Mr. Goldman's judgment, or attempts to collect on that judgment?

A No.
Q Never spoke to him at all?
A Nope.
Q Let's turn to the book titled If I Did It. You testified a good bit in your deposition and your 341 meeting about the circumstances surrounding it. I don't want to repeat all that, because you already testified to that. I want to delve a little more into it.

You testified that a friend of yours, Raffles Van Axle, had come up with the idea for the book. Is that my correct understanding?

A Yeah.
Q And he brought that idea to you?
A Correct.
Q Did he go directly to your dad at any point in time?

A I don't know that.
Q But as far as you know, he first came to you with the idea?

A Yes.
Q And he asked you to do what with the idea, approach your dad?

A He asked me what I thought about it, and then -- he just asked me what I thought about it. We had a discussion on that.

Q Do you remember about when that first came up?

I'll try to put it in a time frame for you. Lorraine Brooke Associates was formed as a corporation on March 22, 2006.

So was it before that?
A Yes.
Q In 2005, in 2004? Do you have a recollection
of how far back that went?
A I want to say -- I don't remember. Some time in 2005.

Q That's fair. It was certainly before the company, Lorraine Brooke Associates, was formed?

A Yes.
Q Prior to that idea coming to you from Raffles Van Axle, had you done any other deals with your dad, or involving your dad's personality or name or anything to that effect?

A Yes.
Q What other deals did you do?
A There was a video game.
Q I believe you testified to that before.
I won't go into the details of that, but in that video game did you actually complete that deal and earn money from that video game?

A Yes. Well, I did.
Q You did personally?
A But the game never came out.
Q Was that in 2005, 2004? How far back did that go?

You can give me a guesstimate. I'm not looking for anything exact.

Let's try it this way: Was it before Mr. Van

1 Axle came to you with the book idea?

A Yes.
Q When you had that video game deal with your dad, did you form a corporation for that deal?

A No.
Q You just did a deal in your own personal
name?
A Yeah.
Q But the video game never came out?
A No.
Q Although you did earn some money in connection with that?

A Correct.
Q So Mr. Van Axle comes to you with this idea and you talk about it.

What did you say to him? He asked you your
opinion. What did you say to him?
A I said I had to think about it.
Q Did you get back to him?
A Yes.
Q And --
A He was very persistent.
Q I'm sure he was. I have not met the man, but I've seen photographs of him.

Ultimately did there come a time when you had

1 another conversation with him, in which you said you would pursue this book?

A Yes.
Q Was that the next conversation, was it several conversations going back and forth?

A Back and forth.
Q Ultimately you decided that was something you would pursue; is that right?

A Correct.
Q When you say you would pursue it, to you what did that mean? Did that mean that you would go to your father?

A Yes.
Q Did your approach your father with the book idea?

A Yes.
Q Do you recall when that occurred?
A No. I mean -- no.
Q Late '05, early '06, roughly?
A '05.
Q It was before Lorraine Brooke Associates was formed in March of '06; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q Do you recall where you were when you first raised it with your dad?

A No.
Q What was his initial reaction?
A "I have to think about it."
Q Did he ultimately get back to you with his
views?
A Yeah.
Q What were his views?
A Basically, not knowing what to do, if he should go forward with it or not.

Q He didn't know whether he should go forward?
A No.
Q Did he tell you why he was concerned about that?

A Yeah. Here and there, just concerns, personal ones.

Q Personal in the sense that he didn't want to be associated with a book of that nature or what?

A His concerns behind closed doors are his concerns for his life; how it would be done and how people would perceive it, so forth and so on.

Q Did you discuss the book idea with your brothers and sister?

A Yes.
Q Was that before you raised it with your dad or after?

A After.
Q After your dad expressed his concerns?
A Yes.
Q How did those discussions go?
A They were random, very light. Nothing specific.

Q In the sense that you said, "Hey, I have a book idea for dad, what do you guys think about it?" Is that how it went?

A Kind of, sort of. It was random conversations here and there. Sometimes they were finished, sometimes they weren't. Because I travel so much, it was random. It was here and there.

Q Did your brothers and sister have an opinion on the book?

A Yeah.
Q Were they initially in favor of it, initially against it?

A They really didn't want to talk about it.
Q So you raised it with them and they really didn't want to talk about it?

A At the time, no. They were concerned about other things. They're teenagers.

Q Did there come a time when they expressed a desire to go forward with the book, to be involved with
the book?
A Yeah.
Q And do you recall how those conversations went, or what was said in those conversations?

A Just the potential of it and -- I mean, it's so weird when I think about it now, looking back on it. Just conversations.

It's an opportunity for us, and in the long run there's opportunity, there's financial opportunity. Basically, that's what it came down to.

Q Tell me about the financial opportunity. What did they think the financial opportunity was?

A That we would all be a part of the book, and that we would get money from the book when it came out.

Q Before you had the discussions with your brothers and sister, did you have those same financial discussions with your dad?

A No. Not like that, no.
Q Did you have any financial discussions with your dad at or about that time, in considering whether to do the book?

A I don't remember.
Q Do you remember whether you and your dad discussed how much money you could earn from the book and who the money would go to? There were no
discussions with your dad about that, at the time you were talking about whether to do the book or not?

A Not necessarily with him, but with Raffles.
Q Tell me about those discussions with Raffles.
This is between you and Raffles?
A Everybody was going back and forth. It was, "Is it going to happen, is it not going to happen?" Of course, we can't have a book without my father, so it was really up to him. And then going from there.

Q You said you can't have a book without your father, because it's your father's story?

A Right.
Q This wasn't anybody else's story, this was his story?

A Right.
Q Do you know whether Raffles and your dad had separate conversations?

A I don't know that.
Q If you don't know it's okay. You don't need to be upset if you don't know.

A It's hard when somebody asks you to think about something when you just do.

Q I'm one of the few lawyers who had the pleasure of being on both sides of the table, asking

1 and answering questions, so I know how you feel.

Let's go back to the discussions with your family.

Did you have any discussions with Denise Brown about the book?

A No.
Q Anybody in Ms. Brown's family, her parents?
A No.
Q There was no meeting of the extended family, your brothers and sister and the Brown family, to discuss the book?

A No.
Q So at some point in time I presume the decision is your father's, and he says to you, "I'll do the book"; is that right?

A Yes.
Q And when he tells you that, what did you do next?

A Well, not being a business person, I then asked Leonardo to help me out with some stuff in regards to this.

Q When you say "Leonardo," you mean Leonardo Starke?

A Leonardo Starke.
Q And he is a lawyer?

A Yes.
Q And he was your father's lawyer on different projects?

A On different projects.
Q Was he also your father's business associate, involved in business deals with him?

A I guess so, yeah.
Q He was also a friend of the family's?
A Yeah.
Q You had known him for several years, and you had known him to be a lawyer?

A Yes.
Q Is that why you went to him?
A Yes.
Q Do you recall the first conversation you had with Mr. Starke about the book?

A I mean -- yeah.
Q What was it?
A "I need help. This book deal has come to me to give to dad. How do I go about making it legit," covering myself in regards to -- I never had a corporation before.

Q Your involvement with the book up until this point in time was, Raffles brings the book idea to you?

A Right.

Q He says, "Can you bring this to your dad, put it to your dad," you do, you have conversations going back and forth. Your dad agrees to do the book. We established it's his story.

At that point in time, is it fair to say that you acted as a broker between your dad and Raffles to broker that deal?

A Yeah, I guess so.
Q That's how I see it. I just want to make sure you see it the same way.

A Yeah.
Q And so at that point in time your brothers and sister weren't really involved in that arrangement; they weren't talking to Raffles, they weren't talking to your dad?

A No.
Q You were doing all that?
A Yeah.
Q But you expected, I presume, that you would earn some compensation as a result of your efforts?

A Right.
Q And so in trying to decide how you would earn that compensation, you went to Mr. Starke to ask him to structure it in a way to help you?

A Yeah, to help me, to kind of deal with the

1 stuff that $I$ don't know to do or ask for, so forth and so on.

Q What were your concerns at that point in time, as we just laid out the structure of what was happening?

A Just concerns of what to ask, what not to ask. Legally what do I do, how do I go about it, how do I protect me. If I get money, how do I spread the wealth with my brothers and sister.

I was ignorant to all that, very green to that. So Leonardo had made suggestions, and really just kind of helped me to just make it happen. From there I just kind of gave it to him.

Q But you had done at least one deal prior with your dad's name or likeness, and that was the video game?

A Right.
Q You weren't concerned back then when that deal was done?

A It was so simple. It was very simple. There was a friend of mine that was involved in it. She has her own company. I was like the broker. It was simple. It wasn't like --

Q This one you viewed as more complicated?
A Yeah. Look where we are.

Q I'm assuming you didn't see this coming when you set it up?

A By no means.
Q So you viewed this deal, the book deal, as more complicated than the video deal?

A Most definitely.
Q You looked to Leonardo Starke to assist you in structuring this corporation?

A Yes.
Q Did you also view that Mr. Starke was also involved in trying to structure it from your father's perspective?

A No.
Q Did you have an understanding as to whether or not Mr. Starke was also representing your father in connection with the book?

A I saw it as Mr. Starke representing me and my brothers and sister, Lorraine Brooke. Me really, because --

Q You did all the work?
A Yeah.
Q That's fair enough. I give money to my siblings all the time. That's okay.

A Just trying to understand it, basically.
Q Do you know if your dad had a separate lawyer

1 assisting him in his aspects of this transaction?
A In the beginning, no.
Q Did there come a time when --
A As it went on, yes.
Q Who was that?
A He has so many lawyers. I couldn't tell you exactly which one.

Q Was it Mr. Galanter who was involved in this book transaction?

A I don't know.
Q But there was a lawyer at some point in time that assisted your dad?

A I believe so.
Q And it wasn't Mr. Starke?
A Not to my knowledge, no.
Q Did you know whether Mr. Starke had
discussions with your father concerning the book, at or around the formation of Lorraine Brooke Associates, March of 2006?

A I don't know that.
Q Whose idea was it to actually form the corporation?

A Mine and Leonardo.
Q When you went to Leonardo and said, "I have this deal I'm brokering between Raffles and my dad --"

1 tell me if I'm wrong. I don't want to put words in 2 your mouth.

A Yeah, that's right.
Q Did he then say, "We should do it through a corporation"?

A I believe he had kind of told me several different things, but at the end of the day the corporation made the most sense. Under the knowledge that I'm not, you know, knowing everything, but just to set it up where us four can benefit from it, yes.

Q What were some of the other options he gave you, if you can remember?

A I can't remember everything, no.
Q Was there ever a discussion at this time as to whether your father could be a shareholder of Lorraine Brooke Associates?

A No.
Q No, there was never a discussion, or it was decided he could not be a shareholder?

A Never a discussion.
Q Did you ever raise that as being a possibility, him being a shareholder in Lorraine Brooke?

A No.
Q Why not?

A Just being greedy. I didn't do all the negotiating, but -- no.

Q It was your dad's story, right?
A Yes.
Q He was going to write the book?
A Right.
Q So you didn't think it was appropriate to have him be a shareholder in the company that was going to publish the book?

A No. From my understanding, Leonardo had discussed -- actually, Leonardo and -- I don't know how this worked, but either Leonardo and my father or Leonardo and Raffles did the negotiating.

Q Over what?
A Over how the book would be done.
Q How the book would be done, or how Lorraine Brooke would be involved in the book?

A How Lorraine Brooke would be involved in the book.

Q So that was between Mr. Starke, your father, and perhaps Raffles?

A Yes.
Q Were you involved in any of those discussions?

A No.

Q But you know that they were discussing how to structure the book in the context of Lorraine Brooke Associates?

A No. They were trying to structure -- how can I explain this?

Q Plain English.
A Basically, Lorraine Brooke -- how Lorraine Brooke would get their share out of the book. I don't know if $I$ said that right.

Q I think I understand it.
You weren't part of those discussions?
A Well, I was, but $I$ wasn't.
Q Did Mr. Starke or your dad come to you and say, "This is how we're going to do it"?

A No. You know how negotiating goes. You go back and forth. I believe Raffles had his concerns, my father had his concerns, and Lorraine Brooke had their own concerns.

Q What were Lorraine Brooke's concerns?
A What would we get out of this deal.
Q What were your dad's concerns?
A What would he get out of the deal.
Q That's why I --
A Separate.
Q That's why I asked the question. If everyone

1 was worried about what they would get out of the deal, why wasn't it just done all through Lorraine Brooke Associates? Why wasn't your dad a shareholder of Lorraine Brooke Associates?

A Because we didn't want him in it.
Q Any particular reason?
A He structured his deal differently than what we wanted.

Q How did he do that?
A I don't know exactly how that went down.
Q Were you concerned about all the litigation that was pending surrounding your dad, for not having him involved in Lorraine Brooke Associates?

A Say that again.
Q Bad question. You're right.
I'm trying to understand your concern for not wanting your dad involved, and my question is, was it the litigation that was surrounding your dad that led you to not want him involved in Lorraine Brooke Associates directly?

A I wanted it separate.
Q Fair enough. You wanted it separate for a reason. I'm trying to understand whether one of those reasons was, he was involved in other litigation. We mentioned other litigation earlier today. We know

1 Mr. Goldman had litigation against him.

Did you want to be separate because of the litigation, as one aspect of it?

A I didn't look at it that way, no. It was just a corporation set up for us four kids to get something out of the book in the long run.

Q Was there ever a discussion as to whether your dad should just publish the book without Lorraine Brooke Associates?

A I don't know how to answer that.
Q Well, in the prior deal you had not created a corporation, and you had gotten a broker's fee, I think you said.

Why couldn't, in this context, your dad have published the book and paid you a broker's fee; you and your brothers and sister?

A Because I saw it as an opportunity of being way bigger than just a small broker's fee.

Q I didn't say the broker's fee had to be small. It could be any size.

A I guess during the discussions with Leonardo, the way that we negotiated how it would be set up, it was kind of determined that way.

Q Principally, you wanted to be separated from your father; you and your brothers and sister wanted to

1 be separate from your father in the context of this?

A Yeah.
Q I think you said that.
A Say that again. I'm confused now.
Q You and your brothers and sister wanted to be separated from your father in the context of this deal?

A Yes.
Q And you don't know why -- maybe you do. Do you know why your dad just didn't simply publish it himself, and pay you and your brothers and sister some money?

A It wasn't discussed that way. It just didn't come up. I don't remember. Meaning with Leonardo, it wasn't structured that way.

Q How was it structured, so I know?
A Well, I know Raffles wanted his money up front, end of story.

Q How much was Raffles to get?
A I don't remember that number.
Q Do you know how he was to be paid?
A No.
Q It wasn't through Lorraine Brooke Associates, was it?

A I don't know. I don't think so.
Q Would it have been directly from the
publisher?
A I don't know that.
Q Do you know whether your father paid him?
A I don't know that.
Q You don't know how he was paid?
A No.
Q You don't remember how much he was paid?
A I didn't concern myself with that.
Q How about your dad? What was his deal?
A I don't remember the exact setup. That's why
I relied on Leonardo to set that up.
I know that we had discussed us getting the back end of the book, meaning once it was published, we got paid that way, through book sales and having the rights to the book.

Q Whose idea was it for you, Lorraine Brooke Associates, to get the back end?

A Leonardo had discussed that.
Q Did he raise that with you as the way you could get paid?

A Yes, or how to get paid, meaning setting up the deal through Lorraine Brooke.

Q Leonardo was going to set the deal through Lorraine Brooke?

A Yes.

Q Did Leonardo say to you, "I'll give you a choice, you can get paid up front or you can get paid in the back end"?

A We discussed like a lot of different things during that time. We discussed a lot of things during that time.

Q Was one of them whether you could be paid up front?

A Yes.
Q And you didn't want to be paid up front?
A We did, but like I said, there's so many discussions during that time, that it ended up being that we would get paid at the end; it would be better for us to get paid at the end of the book deal.

Q Why was it better for you to get paid at the end of the book deal?

A Just because there would be more money.
Q No other reason?
A Depending on book sales.
Q Because it could have went the other way.
A I know, but I like to look at the glass half full.

Q I appreciate that. But it could have went the other way.

A Well, it did. Look where we are.

Q Were there any other reasons besides that there was an opportunity to earn perhaps more money down the road?

A Say that again.
Q Were there any other reasons why you would get paid from the back end of the deal?

A Any other reasons why, no.
Q As I understand it, Lorraine Brooke Associates was formed on March 22, 2006.

Is that your recollection?
A Yeah.
Q Let me give you a book. It looks worse than it is. Turn to Tab Number 1.
(Thereupon, the said document was marked as Exhibit No. 1 for identification by the Reporter.)

BY MR. BATTISTA:
Q If you go to the second page of that tab, do you recognize the Articles of Incorporation of Lorraine Brooke Associates?

A It's a little different, but yes.
Q Is that Mr. Starke's signature on the bottom of the second page and again on Page 3?

A Yes.
Q That is his signature?

A Yes.
Q Going back to the second page, it's file stamped March 22, 2006.

A Yes.
Q Do you remember having any discussions with what has been known as a ghostwriter for the book?

A Do $I$ know -- say that again.
Q Did you have any discussions with the ghostwriter for the book?

A No.
Q Who took care of that?
A I don't know that.
Q Was it your dad?
A I don't know that.
Q You don't know whether it was Leonardo Starke?

A I don't know that.
Q Do you know whether an agreement was ever signed with a ghostwriter?

A I don't know that.
Q Turn to Tab 15 for me. This is a letter dated March 23, 2006, to Lorraine Brooke Associates, from Pablo Fenjves; F-E-N-J-V-E-S.

Have you ever seen that letter before?
A I don't know if I've ever seen this.
(Thereupon, the said document was marked as Exhibit No. 15 for identification by the Reporter.)

BY MR. BATTISTA:
Q On the next page, is that Mr. Starke's signature under Lorraine Brooke Associates?

A Yes.
Q Do you know Pablo?
A No.
Q Ever met him?
A No.
Q Do you know whether he was the person who was going to ghostwrite the book with your dad?

A I don't know that.
Q Do you know what he was to be paid?
A No.
Q Given that Mr. Starke signed this, do you think Mr. Starke would know?

A Yeah.
Q This was signed March 23, 2006.
That was one day after Lorraine Brooke Associates was formed, right?

A Yeah.
Q If you go back to Tab 2, have you seen this agreement before?

For the record, this is a contract between Lorraine Brooke Associates, Inc. and HarperCollins Publishers, dated May 8, 2006.

A Right.
(Thereupon, the said document was marked as Exhibit No. 2 for identification by the Reporter.)

BY MR. BATTISTA:
Q Do you recall seeing that?
A I might have been shown it. I get shown a lot of things. Sometimes I look at it in detail, sometimes I don't.

Q Look at Page 17.
Is that Mr. Starke's signature on Page 17
under Lorraine Brooke Associates? Is that his signature?

A Yes, it is.
Q Did he have authority to sign this contract for Lorraine Brooke Associates?

A Yes.
Q What was Mr. Starke's role with Lorraine Brooke Associates when it was first formed?

A He was my lawyer, my confidant.
Q When you say "my," you mean Lorraine Brooke Associates?

A Yeah. Sorry.
Q That's all right.
A He helped me out organizing it, and he was, I believe, vice-president to it at some point.

Q Was he also a director, do you remember?
A I believe so, yes.
Q So when he signed this as vice-president, he was the vice-president of the company at that time?

A Yeah.
Q Did you negotiate with HarperCollins any portion of this contract?

A I left that up to Leonardo.
Q So he had full authority to negotiate this?
A Yes.
Q Did you give him any direction as to what to say?

A What to say?
Q Did you give him any direction as to what you wanted to see in the contract, the terms of the contract?

A Just to make sure that we get our money at the end of the book deal, to protect me, Lorraine Brooke.

Q Protect Lorraine Brooke from what?
A In case somebody tries to come after us.

Making it legit, professional.
Q That's one of the areas I want to explore a little bit.

You actually said, I think, at one point, to protect you against lawsuits; is that right? Is that one of your concerns?

A Yeah.
Q In the formation of Lorraine Brooke you wanted to be protected against lawsuits?

A That and other things.
Q What other things?
A I don't know business, but I do know that people -- things can happen, basically.

Q Were you worried about somebody trying to take what was otherwise entitled to Lorraine Brooke Associates in this book deal?

A Take?
Q We can use the words "seize" or "attach." We can use any of those.

A Honestly, I just wanted to make sure that things were legit, and that God forbid if anything happened -- there's a lot of things I wouldn't foresee, but just if anything happened, that it was done right. Anything in regards to me or my brothers or sister, anything.

Q Were you concerned about any particular lawsuits at the time?

A No. I wasn't foreseeing anything.
Q Were you concerned that any of your dad's creditors or adversaries might try to interfere with Lorraine Brooke's rights to be paid?

A At the time, no.
Q Did you become concerned with that after the fact?

A No.
Q Exhibit A to the document, Page 22-- I'm sorry, the same document, but Page 22.

A Uh-huh.
Q Have you ever seen this before?
A I believe so.
Q Is that your father's signature on the bottom?

A Yes.
Q This is a document dated May 8, 2006, attached to the HarperCollins contract, from your dad to HarperCollins Publishers.

You think you've seen that before?
A Yeah, I think.
Q You testified your dad wasn't a shareholder of Lorraine Brooke, correct?

A Correct.
Q He wasn't a director?
A No.
Q He wasn't an officer?
A No.
Q He wasn't an employee?
A No.
Q Couldn't sign checks?
A No.
Q He had no connection with Lorraine Brooke Associates, other than he was your father and your siblings' father?

A Yeah.
Q Did he have any other connection with Lorraine Brooke?

A No.
Q You hesitated. That's why --
A I'm thinking, well, he wrote the book.
Q That is a connection. You're right.
A Is this a trick question?
Q I haven't asked trick questions in 20 years. Don't worry about that. I'm just trying to understand it.

So he signs this agreement, and in the very first paragraph he says, "I represent to HC --"

1 HarperCollins -- "that LBA --" Lorraine Brooke -- "has the right to enter into the agreement."

How would your father know whether LBA had the right to enter into an agreement?

A Leonardo did all the negotiating for me.
Q So he would know the answer to that question?
A Yes.
Q And then it says, "and bind me --" meaning your father -- "personally to the terms of the agreement."

Do you know how LBA could bind your dad to this agreement?

A I don't understand the word.
Q You don't know what that means?
A No. These little, fine details, I don't -- I relied on my lawyer.

Q You relied completely on Mr. Starke to deal with this?

A Yes, sir.
Q Did you have any discussions with your father about this document?

A No.
Q Do you know whether HarperCollins required this document from your father?

A No.

Q Do you know whether HarperCollins would have done this deal with Lorraine Brooke Associates except for this document?

A I don't understand that.
Q Let me try to put it a different way.
Your dad was writing the book; it was his story.

A Right.
Q It wasn't a story of you or your siblings, and it wasn't a story of LBA.

A No.
Q HarperCollins was going to publish this book. Do you think HarperCollins would have published this book without your dad agreeing directly with HarperCollins?

A I don't know that.
Q When did your dad start writing the book?
This document was signed May of '06.
A Some time after May of '06.
Q Do you know how long it took to complete the book?

A No.
Q Did you view the book idea as confidential?
A Confidential?
Q At the time these contracts were entered into
and you formed Lorraine Brooke Associates, was it your view that this was confidential until it was completed and could be announced to the world?

A Yeah.
Q I assume you did that in part for publicity purposes and everything else?

A Right.
Q So no one outside the immediate family, either Lorraine Brooke, your father, Raffles, that group we talked about, knew about this brook?

A Not to my knowledge.
Q Do you know when it first became public?
A That would be very hard for me to answer. No, $I$ don't.

Q Would it have been late ' 06 ; the October, November time frame?

A October, November, I don't know.
Q But it certainly wasn't immediate. If he started writing it around May when the contract was signed -- you don't really remember how long it took him.

Did it take a couple of weeks, did it take two or three months?

A I don't know. I travel a lot too, and I work. I don't live there all the time. I don't watch

1 him like that. I have my own life.

Q But up to that point in time, you had discussed the book with Raffles, you had discussed the book with your dad, you discussed the book with your siblings. You said you did not discuss it with the Brown family.

A No, I did not.
Q Did you think about calling the Goldman family and asking them about the book?

A No, I didn't.
Q Why not?
A I didn't.
Q I understand, but do you know why you didn't call them?

A I didn't. I didn't think about it. Not to be mean or anything like that. I just didn't.

Q Do you think they would have had an interest in it?

A I don't know that. I would say no, just because they don't like my father, but I don't know that. That would be putting words in their mouth if I said yes or no.

Q That's a fair answer.
You had said in one of your prior depositions that your dad had to get his money up front. I think
that's what you said.
A Yes.
Q You don't remember the exact amount of money -- I'm not asking about the exact amount -- but he had to get his money up front.

A Correct.
Q Do you know why he wanted his money up front?
A No.
Q Do you know why he wasn't willing to wait to get his money?

A No.
Q Do you know if Mr. Starke would know that?
A I don't know that. You'd have to ask him that.

Q But Mr. Starke was the one negotiating the deal?

A Yes, sir.
Q So we can assume he would know that?
A Yes.
Q Other than you acting as a broker between Raffles and your father for the book, what else did Lorraine Brooke Associates do in consideration of getting that back-end payment?

A You would have to ask Leonardo that.
Q So you don't know what else Lorraine Brooke

Associates did?
A I don't know how to proceed with that kind of stuff, so he was the one to guide this company and facilitate what needs to be done and received.

Q You, yourself, other than discussing it with Raffles and putting Raffles together with your father, you didn't do anything else with respect to the book?

A Pardon me?
Q You didn't do anything else with respect to the book, other than putting Raffles together with your father, and having the discussions with Raffles and having discussions with your father?

A And then having discussions about what I would get out of the book deal, meaning we.

Q That was the extent of your involvement?
A I mean -- I'm not understanding the question.
Q I'm trying to understand. Lorraine Brooke Associates is going to get the back end of this deal. You described it as potentially a large amount of money.

A Right.
Q Hopefully.
A Hopefully.
Q Yet it was your dad's book, your dad was writing the book, there was a ghostwriter involved,

1 there was a separate publisher involved, HarperCollins, correct?

A Right.
Q Lorraine Brooke Associates wasn't going to publish the book?

A No.
Q So HarperCollins is going to publish the book, your dad is going to write the book, the ghostwriter is going to help him write the book, Raffles came up with the idea, and you connected Raffles with your father.

I'm trying to understand what else was done by Lorraine Brooke Associates to entitle it to what could potentially be a large amount of money on the back end of the book. If there's nothing else out there, that's fine. I'm just trying to understand what else is out there.

A What else is out there?
Q What else did Lorraine Brooke do?
A I guess to make sure that everything ran smooth and followed through.

Q Anything else you can think of?
A Not right now, no. I'm kind of confused with the question.

Q I'll put it in an example form. I provide

1 legal services to my client, my client pays me for my legal services.

A Uh-huh.
Q I'm trying to understand what kind of services Lorraine Brooke provided in exchange for the back-end payments.

A To make sure that the book deal flowed and followed through, like a baby-sitter, make sure that everything happened the way it's supposed to happen, meaning like the writing is okay, everybody is happy.

To follow through. In order for it to follow through, that's when we get our money, so we have to make sure and watch over it, because we have a huge investment into it.

Q What was the investment?
A That we get the rights to the book and get paid at the end, like when the book gets published.

Q You said "huge investment."
A That's a huge investment to me.
Q It may be a huge asset to you, but did Lorraine Brooke invest any money into the book?

A No, I don't think so.
Q You didn't pay the ghostwriter, to the best of your knowledge?

A No.

Q You didn't pay any money to HarperCollins, to the best of your knowledge?

A No.
Q You didn't pay any money to your father, to the best of your knowledge?

A No.
Q You didn't pay any money to Raffles, to the best of your knowledge?

A No.
Q So other than having the opportunity to make this money at the end of the day, did Lorraine Brooke invest anything in the form of cash or services or property in this deal?

A No, not to my knowledge.
Q So when you said "huge investment," you meant huge opportunity?

A Yeah.
Q You wanted to make sure that Lorraine Brooke could seize on this potential huge opportunity?

A Right.
Q Did you have any discussions with your father about the formation of Lorraine Brooke Associates?

A No.
Q Do you know whether Mr. Starke did?
A I don't know that.

Q Let me show you another document. It's the licensing agreement that's been referred to on more than one occasion. Let me see if you recognize it. Turn to Tab 14.
(Thereupon, the said document was marked as Exhibit No. 14 for identification by the Reporter.) BY MR. BATTISTA:

Q Do you recognize this document?
A Yes, I was shown this.
Q Shown it in the context of this bankruptcy, or did you see it before this bankruptcy?

A It was -- yeah. I believe it's in my corporation book.

Q This is a license agreement, non-exclusive, dated April 11, 2006, between Orenthal James Simpson and Lorraine Brooke Associates, correct?

A Yes.
Q That's your father?
A Correct.
Q On the second to last page, is that your signature for Lorraine Brooke Associates?

A Yes.
Q Is that your father's signature next to it?
A Yes.

Q Did you negotiate this agreement with your father?

A Leonardo.
Q Mr. Starke did?
A Yes.
Q Did you review it before you signed it?
A Yes.
Q Did you have any discussions with your father concerning this agreement before you signed it?

A No.
Q Do you know whether Mr. Starke had any discussions with your father before it was signed?

A I don't know that.
Q So as far as you know, Mr. Starke asked you to sign this agreement and you signed it?

A Yeah. I always look over stuff. Sometimes I don't completely 100 percent understand everything, but I trust Leonardo. That's why I hired him. And so yes.

Q I just want to make sure I understand the extent of your knowledge of this agreement.
(Discussion off the record.)
BY MR. BATTISTA:
Q Back to the license agreement, you had no discussions or negotiations with your father concerning this agreement, correct?

A Correct.
Q Is this the agreement, by the way, that you believe gave Lorraine Brooke Associates the rights to the book?

A Oh, God. I would have to really read this.
Q Do you know, other than reading it right now?
A I don't know that.
Q I just wanted to see if you knew. You don't have to read it right now.

Do you see the last page of the agreement,
Exhibit A?
A Yes.
Q Do you know what that is?
A Payments.
Q Payments from whom to whom, if you know?
A I believe Lorraine Brooke to Mr. Simpson.
Q Where was this money going to come from?
A I believe it came from HarperCollins.
Q So your understanding is, HarperCollins was going to do what with the money?

A I don't know. That's why I'm saying, you have to get to Leonardo. He handled all this stuff for me.

Q I tried, by the way. That's not for you to worry about. That's a different issue.

You believe that these are payments that were going to be made to your father, and the money was going to come from HarperCollins?

A I don't remember exactly the structure, to be really honest with you, but I just know that Leonardo took care of everything in regard to everything.

There were things he told me that went in one ear and out the other, unfortunately. You know what I mean?

Q Understood.
Did you understand that any of the money concerning this book was going to come from HarperCollins?

A Pardon me?
Q Was it your understanding that whatever money that was to be made from this book was going to come from HarperCollins?

A From the sale of the book, yes, if I understand the question right.

Q I'm trying to understand what your understanding is, as to where the money was going to come from from this book, whether it was sales or promotions or whatever was going to happen with the book.

Is that --

A I believe so, yes.
Q The contract was between HarperCollins and Lorraine Brooke Associates, as we identified in Exhibit 2, right?

A Uh-huh.
Q Do you know why HarperCollins didn't just do a contract directly with your father?

A No, I don't.
Q You said that your father had negotiated his separate deal with HarperCollins on what he was going to get; is that right?

A I believe so. I don't know that completely, but I believe so.

Q Your understanding is that he negotiated with HarperCollins?

A Correct.
Q For his piece?
A I believe so.
Q You didn't do it?
A No, I didn't do it.
Q I think you testified before -- and I don't want to put words in your mouth -- that he went to HarperCollins and negotiated his deal?

A I thought so, yes.
Q Do you know why he wouldn't have provided for

1 HarperCollins to pay him directly?

A I don't remember the structure of it, no.
Q You don't know why it was structured that way?

A No.
Q Do you see any reason why HarperCollins could not have paid him directly?

A No. I don't know.
Q They could have, right?
A I guess so, yeah.
Q Did it concern you at all?
A To be honest with you, no.
Q Other than the license agreement, Exhibit 14, is there any other agreement that you know of between Lorraine Brooke and your father?

A Offhand, I couldn't tell you that.
Q You're not aware of any?
A No.
Q Was there any agreement that Lorraine Brooke, for instance, would pay any of your father's bills with money it received?

A Leonardo would know that.
Q But you don't know that?
A I recall it, yeah, but I don't remember how exactly it went. I believe the money came to us, and then we paid out the bill directly, something on the lines of that.

Q That's what I'm trying to understand.
A You would have to go to Leonardo Starke to get the details of that. I don't remember.

Q You weren't involved in it?
A I was involved in it, but I let him do everything.

Q Do you recall having a discussion with your father about whether Lorraine Brooke --

A No. I didn't talk to him about that; Leonardo Starke.

Q I have to finish my question.
A I'm sorry.
Q I know you know where I'm going, but let me finish.

Did you have any discussions with your father about Lorraine Brooke paying any of his bills with this money?

A I don't know how to answer this. Not that I'm trying to find something to say.

Leonardo -- like I said, I always go through Leonardo Starke.

I don't know how to explain this. I remember hearing of it, and Leonardo dealing with it.

Q Do you remember someone told you that that's what's going to happen?

A Making arrangements through the business, but Leonardo knows the details of it. I would be lying if I say I remember.

Q I don't want you to lie. I don't want you to guess either.

A That's what I'm trying to say. I don't want to guess. But I do recall.

Q You recall somebody telling you that this is how it's going to work, but you don't recall who told you?

A It's not who, it's -- no, I don't recall. I'm fumbling now and I can't get my thoughts right.

Q But you had an understanding that this was going to happen this way?

A Yes.
Q And Leonardo would have the details?
A Yes.
Q As to why it was structured that way?
A Correct.

Q Just like Leonardo would have the details as to why Lorraine Brooke was structured the way it was for this deal?

A Correct.
Q I may have asked this, and I apologize. Was there ever a discussion with your father, directly or indirectly, as to why the money he was to be paid up front wouldn't be split with Lorraine Brooke Associates?

A Split?
Q Under this agreement he was going to get \$630,000, I believe. That's the license agreement. I think you testified that he was negotiating that with HarperCollins directly, and he was going to get his money up front.

Is that all true?
A Yeah.
Q So I'm wondering --
A I don't remember the details of everything.
Q Let's take it one step at a time.
I think you said that he was negotiating with HarperCollins over the money to be paid to him?

A I believe so, yeah.
Q And we've identified that that amount of money is $\$ 630,000$, which is on the attachment to the
license agreement; is that right?
A I believe so.
Q If that's not right, tell me.
A I don't know.
Q You don't know what deal he cut with HarperCollins?

A I don't know the details of it, no.
Q So whatever the deal was he cut with HarperCollins, the question I have is, was there any discussion with him as to whether, whatever money he was going to get, he would split, 10 percent, 90 percent?

A Me personally, I don't know that.
Q You didn't have any discussions with him?
A No, I did not.
Q You said that one of the things, or the principal thing Lorraine Brooke did, besides make an introduction between Raffles and your father, was to make sure everything ran smoothly, and to make sure the book got published and everything else.

> Any reason why your dad couldn't have done
that?
A Say that again.
Q Is there any reason why your father could not have made sure everything went smoothly in getting the

1 book published?

A I don't know that.
Q I'm wondering whether something prohibited him from doing that?

A Not that I know of, but you'd have to ask him.

Q I tried that, too.
You mentioned in one of your depositions, in addition to Raffles, another man named Bruce.

Do you remember Bruce's name?
A Bruce? I don't know a Bruce.
Q Brett. I'm sorry.
There were two guys involved in the book deal. Raffles was one and --

A Brett.
Q Do you remember Brett's last name?
A Saxon.
Q He's the guy with TMP, the agent?
A I believe so, yeah.
Q Do you know what his deal was?
A No. I referred Leonardo to deal with all that.

Q Going back to the moneys that were paid by HarperCollins to Lorraine Brooke and then paid out to your father, did that cause you any concern that that

1 money from HarperCollins was being funneled through Lorraine Brooke Associates?

A I'm sorry. Say that again.
Q The money that was coming from HarperCollins for the book into Lorraine Brooke Associates and then paid out to your father in various forms, did that cause you any concern, that structure?

A No.
Q Who handled the banking relationship for Lorraine Brooke Associates?

A Leonardo.
Q Were you a signatory on the bank account, as well?

A Yes.
Q Was there only one bank account?
A Yes.
Q That was at Bank of America?
A Correct.
Q Did Mr. Starke handle the checkbook?
A Yes.
Q So Mr. Starke made the decisions as to who to pay, who not to pay?

A Yeah. Both of us.
Q Did you say "both of us"?
A Yes. I mean, we discussed things.

Q So he would ask you permission before he did something?

A Yeah. I mean, sometimes, yeah.
Q He could do it on his own if he wanted to?
A Yeah. I trusted him.
Q Go to Tab 3-D as in "dog." This is a one-page document, dated November 16, 2006.
(Thereupon, the said document was marked as Exhibit No. 3 for identification by the Reporter.)

MR. BATTISTA: I'm sorry. You can have a full set. They're sitting here. I should have given to them to you earlier. I apologize.

BY MR. BATTISTA:
Q This is from a Rob Stein.
Do you know Rob Stein?
A No.
Q TMP, Transactional Marketing Partners, was that the company Brett Saxon was with?

A I don't know exactly, but $I$ know he has a company.

Q This appears to be a letter directed to Mr. Starke for Lorraine Brooke Associates, enclosing a check in the amount of $\$ 340,000$. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Do you ever recall seeing this?
A I don't recall.
Q Go to the next page of that exhibit. It looks like an e-mail from Mr. Stein to Mr. Starke, and it says, "Hi Leonardo. I just returned from the bank after making the deposit into Lorraine Brooke Associates' account. I've attached a copy of the check, the deposit slip and a letter confirming the calculation."

Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Did you ever see this before?
A No.
Q Do you know why Mr. Stein, who's located in Santa Monica, California, is depositing money into the Lorraine Brooke Associates bank account?

A No, I don't.
Q Does that concern you?
A I don't know. I mean, I trusted Leonardo, so --

Q Do you know how Mr. Stein got a deposit slip for Lorraine Brooke Associates?

A You'd have to ask Leonardo that.
Q Then if you turn to the next page, do you see
a check for $\$ 340,000$ from Transactional ERA to Lorraine Brooke Associates?

A Yes.
Q Is that the check being referred to in the first two pages?

A Yes.
Q Then we have the deposit slip, which is the next document. It looks like Bank of America. I can't read the account number, but $I$ believe it would be into Lorraine Brooke's account.

A Correct.
Q That's on November 16, 2006. Let's jump over now to Tab 16.
(Thereupon, the said document was marked as Exhibit No. 16 for identification by the Reporter.)

BY MR. BATTISTA:
Q Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q This is a schedule of receipts and disbursements that Mr. Starke gave to us in discovery. Do you recognize this?

A Yes.
Q And what is this showing?
A Receipts, and where the money was
distributed.
Q Receipts would have come from where?
A From Lorraine Brooke.
Q These are receipts into Lorraine Brooke, but do you know where they would have come from?

A I believe HarperCollins.
Q If you go to the last item on the first page, $\$ 340,000$, November 16,2006 , is that the same $\$ 340,000$ that we referred to in Exhibit 3-D?

A I believe so, yes.
Q We just established that was deposited by Mr. Stein directly into Lorraine Brooke's account in California on November 16, 2006, right?

A Uh-huh.
Q It looks like on the same day, on November 16th, a check was written to Washington Mutual for $\$ 250,000$ for the benefit of Mr . Simpson, and to the IRS for $\$ 150,000$ for the benefit of Mr. Simpson.

A Correct.
Q Do you know why those checks were written almost immediately upon the receipt of the money?

A No.
Q Mr. Starke would know that?
A Yes.
Q Do you know of any other instance in which

1 anybody else deposited money, other than you or 2 Mr. Starke, directly into Lorraine Brooke's account?

A I don't know that. You would have to refer to Mr. Starke.

Q Let's go to Number 17.
(Thereupon, the said document was marked as Exhibit No. 17 for identification by the Reporter.)

BY MR. BATTISTA:
Q Do you recognize these pages?
A Yes.
Q These are what?
A Receipts.
Q For what?
A Checks.
Q From whom?
A From Lorraine Brooke.
Q To various payees?
A Correct.
Q If we go to the second page, check 1157, do you see that?

A Yes.
Q It's dated November 15, 2006.
Who is it payable to?
A Mr. Simpson.


A Yes.
Q The amount was how much?
A 20,000.
Q Which box is checked in the middle of that, cash, check or money order?

A Cash.
Q What does this tell you?
A What do you mean what does this tell me?
That he got cash.
Q Of $\$ 20,000$ ?
A Yes.
Q Do you know why Lorraine Brooke gave $\$ 20,000$ in cash to Mr. Simpson?

A I don't remember that.
Q Were you involved in that at all?
A You'd have to ask Mr. Starke.
Q Were you involved in that?
A I believe I was told, but like I said, I always let Mr. Starke handle everything.

Q You don't know why he was given --
A I don't recall why, no.
Q Does your father have a bank account?
A I assume so, yes.
Q Do you know?
A I don't know that, no.

Q Do you know why a check just wasn't made payable to your father for $\$ 20,000$ ?

A I don't.
Q Did it seem odd to you that \$20,000 in cash was given to your father?

A I don't know.
Q That's a lot of money, isn't it?
A Yeah, but I don't know. You'd have to talk to Mr. Starke in regard to the circumstance of that.

Q I'm not sure I've ever seen 20,000 in cash, but I assume it's pretty thick.

A I guess.
Q That was Mr. Starke's decision and it didn't concern you?

A No, it didn't.
Q Is that because you viewed this money that came in from HarperCollins as Mr. Simpson's money and not Lorraine Brooke's?

A Mr. Simpson's money and not Lorraine Brooke's, yes. Well, I'm not understanding.

Q I'm trying to understand it.
Mr. Simpson negotiated his own deal with
HarperCollins to get his money up front?
A Right.
Q We established that that was perhaps as much

1 as \$630,000.

A Okay.
Q Is that right?
A I guess.
Q Whatever the number was.
A Right.
Q And we established that it was paid into Lorraine Brooke's account.

A Correct.
Q So when it was paid into Lorraine Brooke's account, did you think it was Lorraine Brooke's money?

A No.
Q So you must have assumed it was your father's money?

A Correct.
Q Do you know why, if it was your father's money, it was paid into Lorraine Brooke's account, as opposed to into your father's bank account?

A No, I don't know that.
Q Did you ever ask that question to Mr. Starke or your father?

A No, I didn't.
Q Did it cause you any concern that Lorraine Brooke's account was being used for your father's money?

A I didn't know that. I mean, I didn't -- I don't know how to answer that.

Say that again.
Q Did it cause you any concern that Lorraine Brooke's bank account was being used to deposit your father's money?

A I don't know that it was being used to deposit.

Q Was being used to hold your father's money?
A I don't remember the terms of whatever was negotiated between Lorraine Brooke -- meaning Leonardo helping me -- and HarperCollins and Mr. Simpson.

Q I appreciate that. You testified to that. I'm not trying to make you guess about that.

This is your company, correct?
A Yes.
Q You're the president?
A Yes.
Q And you were a signatory on this bank account?

A Right.
Q And you testified that your father cut his own deal with HarperCollins to receive his money up front, correct?

A Correct.

Q You testified that that money that was to go to your father went into Lorraine Brooke's bank account, correct?

A I think so.
Q You think so?
A I'm confused now. You're confusing me.
Q I'm not trying to trick you.
A I know you're not.
Q I'm just trying to establish your
understanding.
This money that we just identified, all the receipts that we just identified, came into Lorraine Brooke's bank account, and it was your understanding that it was not Lorraine Brooke's money, it was your father's money, correct?

A Correct.
Q So I asked you, did it concern you at all that Lorraine Brooke's bank account was being used to hold and disburse your father's money?

A No.
Q That's fine.
A But once again, I don't remember the terms that Leonardo set up.

Q You don't know what deal Leonardo cut with --
A How we negotiated it.

Q When you say "we," you mean Lorraine Brooke?
A Leonardo, and the details of everything.
Q When you say "negotiated," you mean the deal that was reached between Leonardo and your father?

A Well, Harper, Leonardo and my father. Lorraine Brooke is the company that's supposed to -everybody is negotiating everything, so Lorraine Brooke is also in there getting the back end of this book and the rights.

Q I understand that, but I'm only focusing on the money that went into Lorraine Brooke's account, whether it caused you any concern, and you said no, it didn't. Fair enough. Then you went on to say it was negotiated.

I simply want to know, negotiated between whom and whom? Was it between Mr. Starke and your father, as to how and why Lorraine Brooke's bank account would take receipt of your father's money and then disburse your father's money?

A Yes.
Q Which explains why you didn't really care why \$20,000 in cash was handed to your father out of Lorraine Brooke's account.

A It not that I didn't care.
Q It didn't concern you.

A Right.
Q Bad choice of words. I'm sorry.
It didn't concern you, right?
A But then I don't remember a lot of things. I'll probably leave here and say, "Oh, shot, I remember we did talk about this or that."

It's so overwhelming for me. That's why I rely on Leonardo.

Q Back to Tab 17, the second page, do you see check 1160, payable to Washington Mutual?

A Yes.
Q That's \$250,000.
Did you know that Lorraine Brooke had written a check to Washington Mutual for $\$ 250,000$ on November 16, 2006?

A I've seen all these, so yes.
Q But before you saw it today or in connection with this bankruptcy, did you know when it happened, on November 16, 2006?

A Maybe, yeah. I don't recall.
Q Do you know why that money was paid to Washington Mutual?

A You would have to ask Mr. Starke.
Q You don't know?
A No. I don't remember why.

Q Do you know whether it was used to pay down the mortgage on Mr. Simpson's home?

A No. I don't know that.
Q Check 1161, payment to the IRS, Department of Treasury, $\$ 150,000$, do you know why that check was written?

A Obviously, to send to the IRS.
Q Well, yes, but other than that, do you know why that was written?

A No.
Q Do you know whether it was to pay past due taxes?

A I don't know.
Q Was it to pay Lorraine Brooke's taxes?
A I don't know. You'd have to ask Leonardo that.

Q You don't recall Lorraine Brooke having a tax obligation that big, do you?

A I don't recall.
Q You don't know?
A I don't recall.
Q Mr. Starke would know?
A Yes.
Q Why wasn't your father a signatory on the Lorraine Brooke bank account, do you know?

A Why wasn't he?
Q Yes.
A Because he's not Lorraine Brooke.
Q I understand that, you testified to that, but his money was deposited into Lorraine Brooke's account, and a lot of his bills were paid from Lorraine Brooke's account.

My natural question is, do you know why he wasn't a signatory?

A No, I don't know. Because he doesn't need to be.

Q Why is that?
A Because he's not part of Lorraine Brooke.
Q To repeat, he wasn't a signatory on the bank account, because he wasn't part of Lorraine Brooke?

A No.
Q Other than the money that came in that we've identified on Exhibit 16, the receipts that you discussed came from HarperCollins, did Lorraine Brooke get any other moneys?

A Any other moneys?
Q Yes.
A I believe yes, there was, but I don't remember exactly how it came about.

Q Do you know how much, roughly?

A No. I don't remember that.
Q Was there another deal that Lorraine Brooke was doing?

A Not to my knowledge, no.
Q Was it doing any other business besides this book deal?

A During it, no.
Q Have you had any discussions with your father about the fact that this book is not going to be published?

A Say that again.
Q Prior to the filing of the bankruptcy, did there come a time when HarperCollins decided not to publish the book?

A Yes.
Q That was about when, do you remember?
A I want to say -- I'm so bad with time frames.
Q Around Thanksgiving maybe of 2006?
A Yeah, around the end of last year.
Q How did you react to that?
A Mixed.
Q What does that mean?
A I was relieved and then upset.
Q Relieved because?
A Just because anything that he does is a lot,

1 and personally, being related to him, and him being my 2 father, I have concerns. I care for him.

Q You say "anything he does is a lot." I don't understand what that means.

A His name is O.J. Simpson, infamous from a trial we all know about. It is what it is. There's nothing else to be said about it.

In saying that, you just never know. Most people in the media will say that it's all bad, but that's not what I've always seen. So it's very down the middle.

When I say a relief, to a certain degree, because you just don't know how people are going to react. You don't know how the media is going to spin it. You don't know if people have let it go or don't care about it anymore. You just don't know.

Q Were you concerned about more litigation coming as a result of the book being published?

A I didn't think about that, no. I really didn't.

Q Then you said you were upset.
You were upset why?
A Because I was concerned about how we were going to get paid.

Q So when the decision came out that the book

1 wasn't going to be published, you were concerned --

A What does that mean for Lorraine Brooke.
Q Did you have any discussions with anyone about that?

A Yeah, Leonardo.
Q What did you talk about?
A How does it work? Where do we go from here? What are the steps that we take? Will it change? What are our options?

Q What did he say?
A A lot of things. We can maybe renegotiate with HarperCollins, or maybe somebody else might want to buy the book, or maybe we should just let it go. Maybe we'll wait a year and then rerelease it. A lot of things came up.

Q Did you have any discussions with your father about it?

A Yeah.
Q What did you tell him?
A He told me that the book wasn't going to be done.

Q Based upon HarperCollins saying it wasn't going to be published?

A Yeah.
Q How did you react to him?

A Nothing.
Q Did you say to him, "Dad, I'm not going to get any money out of this, so can you give me some money that you got up front," as an example?

A How did I react to him? That's a good one. It's so natural. You don't think about it.

Yeah, I know that I was like, "I need to talk to Leonardo to see what that means for me."

Q Did your dad offer to give some of the money that he had gotten up front to Lorraine Brooke?

A No.
Q You said that kind of laughingly.
A No, he didn't.
Q Did you ask him?
A No.
Q Do you think he would have said yes or no?
A Knowing him, and he loves us, he probably would have said yes.

Q Why didn't you ask him?
A Because I was waiting to see what was going to happen, where are we going to go from here. With the hoopla and the media putting a spin on it, you have to let it sit for a minute and see what happens.

Q Did you call up Raffles and ask Raffles to pay back some of the money he got?

A No. I wasn't thinking that way, no.
Q All you knew is that Lorraine Brooke wasn't getting what you thought it was going to get?

A Yeah. I had plans.
Q You had plans?
A Yes.
Q What were those?
A Just to buy a house, buy my mom a house, help her out, just things that you want to do in life. You get a lump sum of money, you're able to do those things; invest, travel, start my own company.

Q You had your own company.
A Meaning wardrobe stylist.
Q Different business?
A Different business, yes.
Q Does your father charge you rent to live at the house?

A No.
Q Any of your brothers and sister?
A No.
Q Does he pay all the bills at the house?
A Pretty much, but I'm there off and on.
Q I know you work.
A I go to L.A. a lot and spend time there.
Then you also have to realize that Justin and

Syndey are in school. So they're off and on, too.
Q Does he pay their tuition?
A I don't know that.
Q Do they work, either one of them?
A No.
Q You don't pay their tuition, do you?
A No.
Q Would you assume that your dad pays their tuition?

A I don't know that. I know they have their
own money, too. So I don't know that.
Q Does your dad ever give you money?
A He's loaned me money.
Q Have you paid him back?
A Yes.
Q How much has he loaned you?
A Like he'll pay my airfare, then I'll go to L.A., work, give him back the money.

Q Not large amounts of money?
A No, not really. Not that I can recall right now. I try to earn my way there, help out.

Q I appreciate that. Let's take a moment and sort of flip through the rest of these exhibits. Let's start with Exhibit 3. We've already gone through Exhibits 1 and 2. Take a look through Exhibits 3-A, B
and C. We already looked at D.
Do you recognize these?
A I might have seen them. I don't recall.
Q Do you know what they are?
A To look at them, I would have to say they're checks, copies of letters of -- copies of -- not copies -- checks from HarperCollins.

Q Would these be moneys that would be payable to Lorraine Brooke Associates?

A Yes.
Q Same for A, B and C?
A Yes, sir.
Q We already talked about D. Let's look at Number 4.
(Thereupon, the said document was marked as Exhibit No. 4 for identification by the Reporter.)

BY MR. BATTISTA:
Q Do you know what Number 4 is?
A Washington Mutual.
Q Check drawn on Lorraine Brooke Associates?
A Correct.
Q July 24, '06?
A Correct.
Q $\$ 70,000$ ?

A Correct.
Q Payable to Washington Mutual?
A Correct.
Q Is that Mr. Starke's signature?
A Correct.
Q In the memo section it says, "O.J. Simpson equity credit line."

Do you see that?
A Correct.
Q Is this another check from Lorraine Brooke to pay Mr. Simpson's bill?

A Correct.
Q And again, you think that's because it was Mr. Simpson's money?

A Pardon me?
Q Do you think that's because this is Mr.
Simpson's money?
A Correct.
Q Same thing with 4-A. I apologize. It's a little hard to read.

Do you recognize that?
A No.
Q This appears to be a cashier's check or bank check drawn on Bank of America for $\$ 70,000$, payable to Washington Mutual.

A Correct.
Q Do you know if that is the same $\$ 70,000$ as in the first check in Exhibit $4 ?$

A I don't know that.
Q You don't know whether your father took the check from Lorraine Brooke Associates, 1151 for \$70,000, and converted it into a cashier's check?

A I don't know that.
Q If you don't know, you don't know.
A No, I don't know that.
Q Let's go to Number 5.
(Thereupon, the said document was marked
as Exhibit No. 5 for identification by the
Reporter.)
BY MR. BATTISTA:
Q This is a declaration of Arnelle Simpson. Is that your signature on the second page?

A Yes.
Q Do you recall signing this?
A Yes.
Q This was signed in connection with what?
A This is, I believe, in regards to Lorraine Brooke. It's some of the stipulations of it.

Q Do you have an understanding as to why you signed this?

A A complete understanding?
Q Yes.
A Right now, no.
Q Was this in connection with litigation that was going on in California against your father and Lorraine Brooke concerning the book?

A I do remember that going on, but I don't know if this is in regard to that.

Q Were you aware of litigation going on between Mr. Goldman, your father and Lorraine Brooke, in or around March or April of 2007, just this year?

A Yes.
Q Have you ever heard of the surrogate order?
A Yeah. I have been hearing that a lot lately.
Q Do you know if this declaration was being given in connection with that surrogate order?

A My understanding is that there was something out in Los Angeles in regard to O.J -- Mr. Simpson -Lorraine Brooke and the Goldmans. My true knowledge of it, I don't understand, but I do know that there was a ruling on something with regards to the three, and that's why we are where we are now.

I don't know the details, but in layman's terms --

Q Fair enough. Next one is Number 6.
(Thereupon, the said document was marked as Exhibit No. 6 for identification by the Reporter.)

BY MR. BATTISTA:
Q This is another declaration of Arnelle Simpson. I don't have a signature page, so I'm going to skip that.

Let's go to Number 7.
(Thereupon, the said document was marked as Exhibit No. 7 for identification by the Reporter.)

BY MR. BATTISTA:
Q This is a declaration of Arnelle Simpson. Is that your signature on the bottom of the first page?

A Yes, sir.
Q Do you know what this was in connection with?
A I'll have to read it to know.
Q You can take a moment. It's not very long.
A This is in regards to Lorraine Brooke, and I believe -- I guess the case that was pending in Los Angeles.

Q Do you know if this was in connection with the surrogate order?

A I'm still not really understanding this whole
surrogate thing.
Q If you look on Item 3 on your affidavit you said, "I make this declaration as a part of LBA's ex parte application to vacate the assignment order and the restraining order of March 13, 2007, and order of March 23, 2007, declaring Lorraine Brooke Associates a surrogate to Orenthal James Simpson."

A I don't get "surrogate."
Q This says you're making this declaration in support of your motion to vacate those orders, which means get rid of those orders.

A Okay.
Q Do you recall that?
A Yes. I recall Leonardo and I definitely having discussions about this.

Q You discussed this issue with Mr. Starke?
A Correct, and him explaining to me why we're involved in it.

Q What did he say to you?
A That the Goldmans believe that Lorraine Brooke and Mr. Simpson is the same.

Q What else did he say?
A In order to -- we have to fight it to let them know it's not. Because you have claims against Lorraine Brooke. We have to defend ourselves in

1 regards to where we are now. Which I never thought we would be here.

Q Did you have counsel in California assisting you with this?

A Yes.
Q Who was that?
A Tappan Zee.
Q Let's go to Number 8.
(Thereupon, the said document was marked as Exhibit No. 8 for identification by the

Reporter.)
BY MR. BATTISTA:
Q Is that Mr. Starke's signature on the bottom right?

A Yes.
Q Are you familiar with his signature?
A Yes.
Q Let's go to Number 9.
(Thereupon, the said document was marked as Exhibit No. 9 for identification by the

Reporter.)
BY MR. BATTISTA:
Q On the second page of Number 9, is that his signature?

A Yes, sir.

Q Do you know why he was giving the affidavit in Number 8 and the declaration in Number 9? Do you know what those are for?

A No, I don't know.
Q Next is Number 10.
(Thereupon, the said document was marked as Exhibit No. 10 for identification by the Reporter.)

BY MR. BATTISTA:
Q This is a 2007 corporate annual report for Lorraine Brooke Associates. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Filed April 30, 2007. On the bottom it says "Signature, Arnelle Simpson." Do you recall participating in the preparation and filing of this?

A What do you mean?
Q Do you recall being involved in the preparation and filing of this?

A Preparation of --
Q With the Secretary of State. It's the annual report.

A He told me about it, yes.
Q In the middle it says, "Officers and
directors, title, D. Starke, Leonardo."
Do you know what this was for?
A I'm sure he probably explained it to me, but right offhand, no, I do not.

Q We can skip Number 11. We can skip Number 12.
(Thereupon, the said documents were marked as Exhibit Nos. 11 through 13 for identification by the Reporter.)

BY MR. BATTISTA:
Q How about Number 13? Do you know what these are?

A They're checks.
Q Have you ever seen them before?
A No.
Q You have no idea what these are for?
A These are Washington Mutual -- no. I would have to --

Q If you don't know, you don't know.
A Mr. Simpson's signature is on there, too.
Q Is that Mr. Starke's signature along with
Mr. Simpson's?
A Yes.
Q These are checks drawn on Mr. Starke's client trust account, payable to Washington Mutual?

A Correct.
Q But you don't know what they're for?
A No.
Q Do you know why Mr. Simpson is signing on a check on the Leonardo Starke client trust account?

A No, I don't.
Q We talked about 14. We talked about 15. We talked about 16. We talked about 17. We talked about 18. Let's go to 19.
(Thereupon, the said document was marked as Exhibit No. 19 for identification by the Reporter.)

BY MR. BATTISTA:
Q Do you recognize these?
A Yes.
Q What are these?
A Statements.
Q For whom?
A Banking statements.
Q For whom?
A For Lorraine Brooke, I believe.
Q The first one $I$ have here appears to be dated May 22, 2006 through May 31, 2006.

Is this the very first bank statement that Lorraine Brooke has?

A I believe so, yes.
Q Do you remember opening a bank account with Bank of America on May 22, 2006?

A Yes.
Q A couple of months after the company was formed?

A Correct.
Q Did you open the account yourself?
A No. Leonardo did it for me.
Q Did you maintain these bank statements or did
Leonardo?
A Leonardo did.
Q Did you balance the checkbooks or did
Leonardo?
A He did.
Q Let's go to Number 20.
(Thereupon, the said document was marked
as Exhibit No. 20 for identification by the
Reporter.)
BY MR. BATTISTA:
Q Is this Mr. Starke's signature on this document?

A Correct.
Q What is this?
A It is a resignation.

Q Do you recall getting this from Mr. Starke in or around December 13, 2006 ?

A Yes.
Q Did he call you and tell you he was going to be resigning?

A Yeah. We discussed it.
Q What did he say?
A He just said that he was going to resign from being in the company.

Q Why was he going to resign?
A He just felt that he should not be a part of the company anymore. It wasn't anything that was a bad thing or a good thing. It was just a decision he made.

I said okay. But he would still help me and advise me and guide me.

Q Although he does say at the bottom of this, "However, I am sure you, your brothers and sister will make the best decisions moving forward."

A Uh-huh.
Q But he was going to stay on and help you out?
A Uh-huh. I asked him to.
Q Let's go to 21, director's resignation.
(Thereupon, the said document was marked
as Exhibit No. 21 for identification by the
Reporter.)

BY MR. BATTISTA:
Q Is that Mr. Starke's signature?
A Yes.
Q This is dated March 30, 2006, right?
A Uh-huh.
Q That was only a week after the company was formed.

Was he originally a director of the company?
A I believe so. I don't remember all the details of everything.

Q Do you know why he resigned almost
immediately as director?
A No, I don't remember.
Q Did you discuss it with him?
A I don't remember.
Q Let's go to 22.
(Thereupon, the said document was marked as Exhibit No. 22 for identification by the Reporter.)

BY MR. BATTISTA:
Q Do you recognize this letter?
A No.
Q Is that Mr. Starke's signature on the bottom?
A Yes, it is.
Q Do you recall any discussions with him about
this letter?
A I believe I remember having somewhat of a discussion about this.

Q Do you know what it is?
A I can't off my head tell you exactly. It was March --

Q It was March 15, 2007, just a couple of months ago.

A Yes.
Q Let's go to Number 23.
(Thereupon, the said document was marked as Exhibit No. 23 for identification by the Reporter.)

BY MR. BATTISTA:
Q Do you recognize that?
A No.
Q You've never seen this before?
A No.
Q Next is Number 24.
(Thereupon, the said document was marked as Exhibit No. 24 for identification by the Reporter.)

BY MR. BATTISTA:
Q This is an e-mail exchange between Mr. Starke and a Mr. O'Hanlon.

Do you know Mr. O'Hanlon?
A No, I do not.
Q Have you ever seen this before?
A No.
Q Next is Number 25.
(Thereupon, the said document was marked as Exhibit No. 25 for identification by the Reporter.)

BY MR. BATTISTA:
Q This is an assignment order and restraining
order.
Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Have you ever seen this order before?
A No.
Q This is what $I$ referred to as a surrogate order.

A Oh. I still don't understand.
Q I'm just curious as to whether you saw it.
A No, I have not.
Q Number 26.
(Thereupon, the said document was marked as Exhibit No. 26 for identification by the Reporter.)

BY MR. BATTISTA:
Q This is an order declaring Lorraine Brooke Associates a surrogate of Orenthal James Simpson. Have you ever seen this before?

A No.
Q Number 27.
(Thereupon, the said document was marked as Exhibit No. 27 for identification by the Reporter.)

BY MR. BATTISTA:
Q Have you ever seen this before?
A No.
Q This is the judgment that Mr. Goldman has against your father for $\$ 38,250,110.90$.

You've never seen that?
A No. What's the date on this?
Q The judgment was March 1997.
A Oh, this is old.
Q Exhibit 28 we can skip, 29 we can skip, 30 we can skip. Let's just quickly go through 31.
(Thereupon, the said documents were marked as Exhibit Nos. 28 through 31 for identification by the Reporter.)

BY MR. BATTISTA:
Q Have you ever seen this before?

A What's this?
Q Order granting ex parte application for issuance of or directing sheriff to sell intangibles.

A No. I don't remember seeing this.
Q Next is a transcript of a hearing in
California, dated March 23, 2007.
(Thereupon, the said document was marked as Exhibit No. 32 for identification by the Reporter.)

BY MR. BATTISTA:
Q Were you at that hearing?
A No.
Q Do you know Mr. Ronald Slates?
A Do I know him, no.
Q Do you know Mr. Steven Bingham?
A Nope.
Q Let's go to 33.
(Thereupon, the said document was marked as Exhibit No. 33 for identification by the Reporter.)

BY MR. BATTISTA:
Q This is an ex parte application of Lorraine Brooke Associates for an order staying sale. This appears to be filed by Zee Law Group.

Is that your lawyer?

A Correct.
Q When I say "you," I mean Lorraine Brooke Associates. That was my fault.

A Okay.
Q This was in litigation between Mr. Goldman and Mr. Simpson, correct?

A Correct.
Q Do you know what this motion is about?
A I'm assuming that it's about the book.
Q Do you recall having any discussion with Mr. Zee about this motion?

A Yeah. We did have a discussion about it.
Q What was that about?
A In regards to -- you have to bear with me. I guess the suit from the Goldmans to Mr. Simpson and Lorraine Brooke.

Q Do you recall that this was attempting to stop the auction sale of the book?

A Is that what this is?
Q I'm asking you.
A I don't know that, but $I$ do know that they wanted to.

Q Do you know whether you also tried to vacate or undo the surrogate order?

A Yes.

Q Do you know whether you were successful?
A I believe that's what we're dealing with now.
Q Next is Number 34.
(Thereupon, the said document was marked as Exhibit No. 34 for identification by the Reporter.)

BY MR. BATTISTA:
Q This is another motion by Mr. Zee.
Do you recall having any discussion about this motion with him?

A What's the motion? I'm not familiar with this kind of stuff.

Q The way you can tell what the motion is, the middle right-hand side says "Notice of Motion to Vacate Assignment Order and Restraining Order."

A Okay.
Q Do you recall having a discussion on this motion with Mr. Zee?

A Yes, I believe we did have discussions.
Q Is this the same as the last one, trying to vacate or undo the surrogate order, if you know?

A I don't know. The terms are just not me.
Q I understand. We can skip 35.
(Thereupon, the said document was marked as Exhibit No. 35 for identification by the Reporter.)

THE WITNESS: Is this mine to keep?
BY MR. BATTISTA:
Q If you'd like it you can have it.
Mr. Whittle can keep his.
Do you know someone named Michael Gilbert?
A Yes.
Q Who is he?
A A friend of my father's.
Q Just a personal friend or is he a business associate?

A I believe they're friends, and they've done business together in the past.

Q Do you know whether Mr. Gilbert has asserted claims against your father?

A Yeah, I do. Well, I don't know that, actually.

Q Do you know if he filed litigation against your father, a lawsuit?

A I don't know that.
Q Why do you think he might have claims against your father?

A I don't know that, because they were very

1 good friends. I don't know that.

Q Have you ever spoken to Yale Galanter about this book?

A No.
Q The friendship with Raffles Van Axle, that was between you and Raffles.

Did your father have a relationship with
Mr. Van Axle?
A Yes.
Q He was friends with him before you, after you?

A Before me.
Q Do you know whether your father did any other deals with Mr. Van Axle besides this book deal?

A I don't know that.
Q Had you ever spoken to anybody at HarperCollins about negotiating the book deal?

A Me personally, no.
Q It was all Mr. Starke?
A Yes, sir.
Q Or to the extent your dad negotiated his deal, your dad?

A Yeah.
Q From the time that you formed the company and you signed the book deal in March, April and May of

1 '06, until we established it became public in the October, November time frame of '06, was there a particular reason why you did not want it to become public in between these two dates?

A Why we didn't want it to?
Q Yes.
A Not a particular reason, no.
Q But you wanted to keep it confidential, at least until it was ready to go?

A From what I understand, that's what you do.
Q Was that decision yours or was it your father's or was it Mr. Starke's?

A I believe it was collective.
Q Do you know whose idea it was?
A No. I think it was just assumed. I mean, on my part it was assumed.

Q Was there ever a discussion, "We can't disclose the existence of this book"?

A No.
Q That's what $I$ was trying to get at, a specific discussion.

A No, there was not. MR. BATTISTA: Okay. Thank you very much. I do appreciate you coming and taking the time to answer my questions.
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THE WITNESS: Thank you for being gentle with
(Thereupon, the deposition was concluded. Reading, subscribing and notice of filing were
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| sister 4:13,21 6:10 | 61:19,21 62:23 | 101:12 | teenagers 16:23 | thoughts 57:18 |
| 15:22 16:14 17:16 | 63:5 64:21 65:23 | stylist 81:13 | ll 15:12 17:11 | three 43:23 86:21 |
| 19:10 21:13 22:9 | 66:2,4 68:16,19 | subscribing 105:4 | 18:4 24:6 25:1 | time 5:10 8:1 9:11 |
| 23:18 29:16,25 | 69:9 70:20 73:16 | successful 101:1 | 55:20 59:3 68:7,8 | 10:3 11:8,20 12:2 |
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| 13:25 16:22,24 | 46:17 47:12,16 | use 38:18,19 | 76:14,15 79:1,20 | working 10:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:20 18:1 19:13 | 48:4 53:20 56:7 | - V | 79:22 81:1,2 | world 43:3 |
| 20:24 21:5,12 | 56:25 57:12 69:21 | V | 94:12 | worried 28:1 38:14 |
| 22:4 23:23 24:3 | 71:14 72:7,9 | vacate 88:4,10 | watch 43:25 48:13 | worry 40:22 52:25 |
| 24:11 25:14 32:5 | 101:20 104:20 | 100:23 101:14,21 | way $12: 25$ 21:10,24 | worse $33: 12$ |
| 32:6,12 37:8 39:2 | tuition 82:2,6,9 | Van 11:2 12:8,25 | 29:4,18,22,23 | wouldn't 38:22 |
| 39:7 42:19,25 | turn 10:20 33:13 | 13:14 103:5,8,14 | 30:12,14 31:14,19 | 54:25 58:8 |
| 43:16,25 44:2 | 34:21 50:4 55:4 | various 61:6 66:18 | 32:20,24 42:5 | write 26:5 47:8,9 |
| 58:20 77:13,17 | 63:25 | vice-president 37:4 | 48:9 52:2,24 55:8 | writing 42:6,17 |
| 81:24 103:24 | twice 5:1 | 37:7,8 | 57:20,24 58:2 | 43:19 46:25 48:10 |
| 104:2,24 | two 4:20 6:1,4 | video 12:13,16,17 | 81:1 82:21 101:13 | written 65:16,20 |
| timing 8:18 | 43:23 60:13 64:5 | 13:3,9 22:15 23:5 | wealth 22:9 | 74:13 75:6,9 |
| title 91:1 | 104:4 | view 23:10 42:23 | Wednesday 1:13 | rong 25:1 |
| titled 10:20 |  | 43:2 | week 95:6 | wrongful 9:3 |
| TMP 60:18 62:18 | U | viewed 22:24 23:4 | weeks 43:22 | wrote 40:18 |
| today 5:3 6:11 8:12 | Uh-huh 39:13 48:3 |  | weird 17:6 |  |
| 10:10,11 28:25 | 54:5 65:14 94:19 | views 15:5,7 | went 12:1 16:9 17:4 | Y |
| 74:17 | 94:21 95:5 |  | 20:13 21:23 24:4 | Yale 103:2 |
| told 25:6 53:7 57:5 | ultimately $13: 25$ | W | 24:24 28:10 32:20 | yeah 6:18 8:6 11:4 |
| 57:15 68:18 79:20 | 14:7 15:4 | wait 45:9 79: | 32:23 53:7 54:22 | 13:8 15:6,14 |
| 90:24 | undersigned 106:5 | waiting 80: | 56:4 59:25 72:2 | 16:16 17:2 20:7,9 |
| transaction 24:1,9 | understand 4:25 | waived 105:5 | 73:11,13 | 20:17 21:8,11,18 |
| Transactional | 5:5,9 6:23 8:24 | want 5:10,12,12 | weren't 16:12 | 21:25 22:25 23:21 |
| 62:18 64:1 | 10:7 23:24 27:10 | 7:14 10:23,2 | 21:13,14,14 22:18 | 25:3 30:2 33:11 |
| transcript 99:5 | 28:16,23 33:8 | 12:2 15:16 16:19 | 27:11 56:10 | 35:19,23 37:1,9 |
| 106:17,17 | 40:22 41:13 42:4 | 16:21 21:9 25:1 | we'll 5:11 7:19,20 | 38:7 39:23 40:13 |
| travel 16:12 43:24 | 44:13 46:17 47:12 | 28:5,19 29:2 | 79:14 | 43:4 49:17 50:13 |
| 81:11 | 47:16 48:4 51:17 | 32:10 38:2 51:19 | we're 7:10 27:14 | 51:16 55:14 56:3 |
| Treasury 75:5 | 51:19 53:11,19,20 | 54:22 57:10,10,12 | 88:17 101:2 | 58:17,23 60:19 |
| trial 9:11 78:6 | 56:7 67:7 69:21 | 5 77:17 79:12 | we've 58:24 76:17 | 61:23 62:3,3,5 |
| trick 40:20,21 72:7 | 73:10 76:4 78:4 | 81:9 104:3,5 | 82:24 | 69:8 74:20 77:19 |
| tried 9:21 52:24 | 86:20 97:18 | wanted 28:8,21,22 | Whittle 2:4,4 102:7 | 79:5,18,24 80:7 |
| 60:7 100:23 | 101:23 104:10 | 29:24,25 30:5,16 | willing 45:9 | 81:4 86:14 94:6 |
| tries 37:25 | understanding | 37:19 38:9,20 | WILLS 1:21 106:9 | 100:12 102:18 |
| Trophy 9:21,22 | 10:8,11 11:3 | 45:7 49:18 52:8 | 106:15,23 | 103:23 |
| true 58:16 86:19 | 23:14 26:10 46:16 | 62:4 100:22 104:8 | witness 2:9 4:3 | year 8:15 77:19 |
| 106:17 | 52:19 53:15,21 | wanting 28:17 | 102:4 105:1 106:6 | 79:14 86:11 |
| trust 51:18 91:25 | 54:14 57:19 69:20 | wardrobe 81:13 | won 9:22 | years 6:1,5,16 |
| 92:5 | 72:10,13 85:24 | Washington 65:16 | wondering 58:18 | 20:10 40:21 |
| trusted 62:5 63:20 | 86:1,17 87:25 | 74:10,14,22 83:20 84:2,25 91:17,25 | 60:3 |  |
| try 5:14 7:20 9:17 | Understood 53:10 | 84:2,25 91:17,25 | word 41:13 | $\frac{\text { Z }}{\text { Zee 89:7 99:24 }}$ |
| 11:20 12:25 39:5 | undo 100:24 | wasn't 7:13 18:14 | words 25:1 38:18 | Zee 89:7 99:24 |
| 42:5 82:21 | unfortunately 53:8 | 22:23 24:14 27:12 | 44:21 54:22 74:2 | 100:11 101:8,18 |
| trying 5:9 8:24 | unfortunately 53:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 28:2,3 30:12,14 } \\ & 30: 22 \text { 39:3,24 } \end{aligned}$ | work 23:20 43:25 | \$ |
| 10:6 21:22 23:11 | UNITED 1:1 upset 18:21 77:23 | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 22 \text { 39:3,24 } \\ & 40: 2,4,642: 9,10 \end{aligned}$ | 57:15 79:7 81:23 | \$150,000 65:18 |
| 23:24 27:4 28:16 28:23 38:14 40:22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { upset 18:21 77:23 } \\ & 78: 21,22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 40:2,4,6 42:9,10 } \\ & 43: 1845: 947: 4 \end{aligned}$ | 82:4,18 | 75:5 |
| 28:23 38:14 40:22 | 78:21,22 | 69:1 75:24 76:1,9 | worked 26:12 | \$20,000 68:10,12 |
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| 69:2,4 73:22 | 16th 65:16 | 28 3:4 98:19,22 | 89 2:17,18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250,000 65:17 | 17 2:22 36:13,14 | 29 3:5 98:19 | 9 |
| 74:12,14 | 66:5,7 74:9 92:8 |  | $\frac{9}{92: 18: 1890.18,23}$ |
| \$340,000 62:24 | 18 2:22 67:10,12 | 3 | 9 2:18 89:18,20,23 |
| 64:1 65:8,8 | 92:9 | 3 2:15 5:15 33:23 | 90:2 |
| \$38 8:22 9:1 | 19 2:23 92:9,11 | 62:9 82:24 88:2 | 90 2:18 59:12 |
| \$38,250,110.90 | 1990s 9:24 | 3-A 82:25 | 90s 9:13 10:12 |
| 98:14 | 1997 8:2 9:12 98:17 | 3-D 62:6 65:9 | 91 2:19,19,20 |
| \$630,000 58:12,25 |  | 3:10 1:14 | 92 2:23 |
| 70:1 | 2 | 30 3:5 90:14 95:4 | 93 2:23 |
| \$70,000 83:25 | 2 2:14 35:24 36:6 | 98:19 | 942:24 |
| 84:24 85:2,7 | 54:4 82:25 106:10 | 31 3:6 92:23 98:20 | 95 2:24 |
|  | 2nd 1:12 | 98:22 | $963: 2,2$ |
| 0 | 20 2:23 40:21 93:16 | 32 3:6 99:8 | 973:3,3 |
| 05 14:19,20 | 93:18 | 33 2:14 3:7 99:17 | 98 3:4,4,5,5,6 |
| 06 14:19,22 42:18 | 20,000 68:3 69:10 | 99:19 | $993: 6,7$ |
| 42:19 43:15 83:23 | 2000 6:17,18 | 34 3:7 101:3,5 |  |
| 104:1,2 | 2001 6:17,18 | 341 6:24 10:22 |  |
| 07-12641-BKC-... | 2004 1:20 11:25 | 35 2:21 3:8 101:24 |  |
| 1:3 | 12:21 | 102:2 |  |
|  | 2005 11:25 12:3,21 | 36 2:14 |  |
| 1 | 2006 8:15 11:22 |  |  |
| 1 2:14 33:13,15 | 24:19 33:9 34:3 | 4 |  |
| 82:25 | 34:22 35:20 36:3 | 42:10,15 83:14,16 |  |
| 10 2:18 59:11 90:5 | 39:19 50:16 62:7 | 83:19 85:3 |  |
| 90:7 | 64:12 65:8,13 | 4-A 84:19 |  |
| $1001: 1251: 17$ 1013.7 | 66:23 74:15,19 | 5 |  |
| $1013: 7$ | 77:18 92:23,23 | $\xrightarrow[52: 16 ~ 85: 11,13]{5}$ |  |
| 102 3:8 $\mathbf{1 1} 2: 19$ 50:16 91:5 | $93: 394: 235: 4$ $\mathbf{2 0 0 7} 1 \cdot 1386.11$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52: 1685: \\ & 5: 151: 14 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 112.19 91:8 | $20071: 13 ~ 86: 11 ~$ 88:5,6 90:10,14 | 50 2:20 |  |
| 11-15-06 67:25 | 96:7 99:6 106:7 |  |  |
| 1151 85:6 | 106:21 | 62.16 86.25 87.2 |  |
| 1157 66:20 | 2009 106:10 | 62:16 86:25 87:2 $62 \text { 2:15 }$ |  |
| 1160 74:10 | 21 2:24 94:22,24 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{6} 2: 15 \\ & \mathbf{6 4} 2: 21 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1161 75:4 | 22 2:24 11:22 33:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{6 4} 2: 21 \\ & \mathbf{6 6} 2: 22 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 12 2:19 91:6 | 34:3 39:11,12 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{6 6} 2: 22 \\ & \mathbf{6 7} 2: 22 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 13 1:13 2:20 88:5 | 92:23 93:3 95:16 | 67 2:22 |  |
| 91:8,11 94:2 | 95:18 | 7 |  |
| 14 2:20 50:4,6 | 23 3:2 34:22 35:20 | 72:17 87:8,10 |  |
| 55:1792:7 | 88:6 96:10,12 | 2.1787.8,10 |  |
| 14th 106:6,21 | 99:6 | 8 |  |
| 15 2:21 34:21 35:2 | 24 3:2 83:23 96:19 | 82:17 36:3 39:19 |  |
| 66:23 92:7 96:7 | 96:21 | 89:8,10 90:2 |  |
| 16 2:21 62:764:12 | 25 3:3 4:23 97:5,7 | 83 2:15 |  |
| 64:13,15 65:8,13 | 26 3:3 97:21,23 | 85 2:16 |  |
| 74:15,19 76:18 92:8 | 27 3:4 98:6,8 | 87 2:16,17 |  |

